

STATE OF MAINE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 98-219

October 30, 2001

SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS &  
INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.  
Petition for Finding of Public  
Convenience and Necessity to Provide  
Service as a Local exchange Telephone  
Utility

ORDER DISMISSING  
APPLICATION

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

---

**I. SUMMARY**

In this Order we dismiss without prejudice the Application filed by Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. (Supra) for authority to provide local exchange service in the State of Maine.

**II. DISCUSSION**

On March 23, 1998, Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. filed an application pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 2102 to provide local exchange in Maine. On July 24, 2000, the Commission sent Supra a letter requesting that it state whether it wished to pursue the application. Supra responded on August 15, 2000 stating that it did wish to pursue the application and that, "in due course" it would file a "revised application." Separately, the Commission Staff had informed Supra that its application and proposed rates, terms and conditions were deficient in several material respects. The filing contains no real application that provides the information required by Chapter 280, § 4; the terms and conditions do not contain essential provisions; and there are no rate schedules. Supra has not remedied those deficiencies or filed a revised application, as it stated it would do in its letter of August 15, 2000. We find that this application is deficient and has been pending for too lengthy a period. We therefore dismiss it without prejudice. We note that since the application was filed we have made a number of important changes to our application package (that is available at our website and from the Administrative Director). Any new application that Supra might file should take these changes into account.

Accordingly, we

**O R D E R**

That the application for authority to provide telephone service in Maine filed by Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. on March 23, 1998 is hereby found to be insufficient and is dismissed without prejudice.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

---

Dennis L. Keschl  
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR:    Welch  
   Nugent  
   Diamond

## NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Appellate Procedure.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.